# A Phase 1b/2 Study of Palazestrant (OP-1250), an Oral Complete Estrogen Receptor Antagonist (CERAN) and Selective ER Degrader (SERD), With Palbociclib in ER-Positive, HER2-Negative, Advanced or Metastatic Breast Cancer Patients

Arlene Chan<sup>1</sup>, Daphne Day<sup>2</sup>, Phuong Dinh<sup>3</sup>, Michael Slancar<sup>4</sup>, Janine Lombard<sup>5</sup>, Vinod Ganju<sup>6</sup>, Nicole McCarthy<sup>7</sup>, Demiana Faltaos<sup>8</sup>, Rosalind Wilson<sup>8</sup>, Caitlin Murphy<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Breast Cancer Research Centre-WA, Curtin University, Breast Clinical Trials Unit, Hollywood Private Hospital, Nedlands, WA, Australia; <sup>4</sup>ICON Cancer Centre, Southport, QLD, Australia; <sup>5</sup>Calvary Mater Newcastle, Waratah, NSW, Australia; <sup>6</sup>Peninsula and South Eastern Haematology and Oncology Group, Frankston, VIC, Australia; <sup>8</sup>Olema Oncology, San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>9</sup>Cancer Services Trials Unit, University Hospital Geelong, Barwon Health, Geelong, VIC, Australia;

# Introduction

- Endocrine therapy, when combined with cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitors, results in improved outcomes in patients with hormone receptor-positive (HR+) advanced or metastatic breast cancer: it is the current standard of care for first-line treatment.<sup>1</sup>
- Mutations of the estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) gene often develop after this first-line regimen, constituting the most common mechanism of resistance for estrogen receptor (ER)-positive disease. Suppressing activity of both wild-type and ESR1-mutant ER carries the potential to significantly improve upon current standard of care.
- Palazestrant is a complete ER antagonist (CERAN) and selective ER degrader (SERD), binds the ligandbinding domain of ER and completely blocks ER-driven transcriptional activity in both wild-type (ESR1-wt) and mutant (ESR1-mut) forms of ER.<sup>3</sup> (Figure 1)

#### Figure 1. Mechanism of action of palazestrant at the estrogen receptor<sup>4,</sup>



tion: CERAN, complete estrogen recentor antagonist: N-CoR, nuclear recentor corepressor: SERD, selective est

- In xenograft studies of both *ESR1* wild-type and mutant breast cancer models, the combination of palazestrant and palbociclib resulted in improved antitumor efficacy and a greater-than-additive suppression of transcription associated with cell cycle progression.<sup>6</sup>
- In a phase 2 monotherapy study, palazestrant demonstrated acceptable safety, good tolerability, and a pharmacokinetics (PK) profile supportive of once-daily oral dosing in patients with ER+/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (HER2-) advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Median progression-free survival of 4.6 months and clinical benefit rate of 40% were observed in this heavily pretreated patient population
- Previous data from this open-label study of palazestrant in combination with the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in patients with ER+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer (NCT05266105) established favorable safety, PK, and antitumor activity, with no drug-drug interactions.<sup>8</sup>
- Here, we present updates on safety, efficacy, and PK from the phase 1b/2 study of palazestrant in combination with palbocicli

## Methods

The OP-1250-002 study comprises two parts: a dose-escalation phase followed by a dose-expansion phase. (Figure 2) Figure 2. OP-1250-002 study design



CBR, clinical benefit rate; CR, complete response; MTD, maximum tolerated dose; ORR, objective response rate; PK, pharmacokinetic; PR, partial response se 2 dose: SD. stable diseas Key eligibility criteria

- Women (regardless of menopausal status) or men with HR+/HER2– advanced or metastatic breast cance
- Zero or one prior endocrine therapy + a CDK4/6 inhibitor for locally advanced or metastatic disease - one prior line of chemotherapy for advanced or metastatic breast cancer was allowed
- Evaluable disease (measurable or non-measurable by RECIST 1.1)

# Patient Population

- 46 patients were enrolled at 8 sites between January 2022 and August 2023. Data cutoff date for this analysis was September 15, 2023, (Table 1)
- Dose-escalation included four dose cohorts (3 patients per cohort). Patients received 30, 60, 90, or 120 mg palazestrant QD plus 125 mg palbociclib PO QD on days 1–21 of each 28-day treatment cycle. (Table 2)
- Dose-expansion (ongoing) patients receive 120 mg palazestrant plus 125 mg palbociclib QD. Thirty-four patients were enrolled in this part; approximately 45 patients are planned for enrollment in the dose-expansion phase.
- 40 (87%) patients received prior endocrine therapy for advanced disease.
- 33 (72%) patients received prior treatment with CDK4/6 inhibitors for advanced disease (palbociclib, n=22; ribociclib, n=10; both palbociclib and ribociclib, n=1).

#### Table 1. Baseline patient demographics and disease characteristics

Characteristic	Total (N=46)
Median age, years (range)	63.5 (30–77)
Female sex	45 (98)
Premenopausal	4 (9)
ECOG performance status	
0	31 (67)
1	15 (33)
Measurable disease	36 (78)
Visceral disease	20 (44)
Prior lines of therapy for advanced disease	
0	4 (9)
1	34 (74)
2	8 (17)ª
Prior lines of endocrine therapy for advanced disease	
0	6 (13)
1	40 (87)
Types of prior thorapy for advanced disease	

#### CDK4/6 inhibitor 33 (72) Aromatase inhibito 35 (76) 5 (11) Fulvestrant 10 (22) Chemotherapy ESR1 mutation at baseline (ctDNA) 16/37 (43)b

a shown are n (%) or n/N (%) unless otherwise specified

ast Cancer Panel (Sysmex Inostics, Baltimore, MD) from 37 patients was available at the time of data cutoff for ESR1 mutation evaluation CDK4/6, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6; ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ESR1 estrogen receptor 1 gene.

Table 2. Patient disposition											
	Palazestrant dose										
reatment status	30 mg (n=3)	60 mg (n=3)	90 mg (n=3)	120 mg (n=37)	Total (N=46)						
reatment ongoing	0	2	2	18	22 (48)						
Freatment discontinued	3	1	1	19	24 (52)						
easons for treatment disco	ontinuation										
Disease progression	3	1	1	13	18 (39)						
Physician decision	0	0	0	3	3 (7)						
Patient decision	0	0	0	2	2 (4)						
Adverse event	0	0	0	1	1 (2)						

Data shown are n or n (%)

# Safety and Tolerability

- No DLTs were observed during the dose-escalation part of the study.
- Most treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were grade 1 or 2. (Table 3)
- There were no dose-related increases in incidence or severity of TEAEs.
- The most common TEAEs (≥20% of patients) included neutropenia, gastrointestinal events (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation), anemia, fatigue, and thrombocytopenia. (Table 3)

#### Table 3. Treatment-emergent adverse events reported in ≥20% of patients

	Palazestrant dose								Paloma-3%					
TEAE	30 mg (n=3) 60 mg (n=3		(n=3)	90 mg (n=3) 120 mg (n=37)			Total (N=46)			(N=345)				
	All grades	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4
Neutropeniaª	2	2	3	2	3	2	32	27	40 (87)	28 (61)	5 (11)	96%°	56%°	11%°
Nausea	2	0	3	0	2	0	19	0	26 (57)	0	0	34%	0%	0%
Vomiting	1	0	2	0	2	0	12	0	17 (37)	0	0	19%	1%	0%
Anemia	2	0	0	0	1	0	9	1	12 (26)	1 (2)	0	30%	4%	0%
Diarrhea	1	0	1	0	0	0	9	0	11 (24)	0	0	24%	0%	0%
Constipation	1	0	2	0	0	0	7	1	10 (22)	1 (2)	0	-	-	-
Fatigue	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	10 (22)	1 (2)	0	41%	2%	0%
Thrombocytopenia	0	0	1	0	0	0	9	0	10 (22)	0	0	23%	2%	1%

includes neutropenia and decreased ns reported in ≥10% of patients who nalities in the PALOMA-3 study

- One patient discontinued both drugs due to an AE of nausea concurrent with documented disease progression.
- No patients had dose reduction of palazestrant for neutropenia. Eleven patients had dose reduction of palbociclib for neutropenia.
- Neutropenia was reversible in all patients and the timing was consistent with the palbociclib-related neutropenia.8,9
- One patient experienced neutropenia during Cycle 2 consistent with timing and duration of palazestrant-related neutropenia, which resolved. Patient subsequently discontinued treatment due to disease progression.

# parameters, (Figure 3)

- 96% to 107%
- (Figure 4)

# Efficacy

30 mg 🗕 📕 60 mg 🗕 📕 🗕

120 mg

PS15-04

### **Pharmacokinetics**



The combination therapy exposure parameters are comparable to published monotherapy exposure

AUC(0-24) and Cmax ratios of combination therapy to monotherapy ranged from

Palbociclib 125 mg did not affect palazestrant (30-120 mg) exposure parameters. The observed AUC<sub>024</sub> and C<sub>max</sub> were comparable to monotherapy exposure parameters.







vo patients disco ent due to patient decision; three disc hibitor; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; ESR1, estrogen receptor 1 gen

#### Conclusions

Palazestrant 120 mg in combination with palbociclib 125 mg was well tolerated.

 Adverse event incidence and severity were consistent with the expected safety profile of palbociclib plus endocrine therapy and were similar to those reported previously.8,9

No drug-drug interaction between palazestrant and palbociclib was observed, in agreement with previous reports.<sup>8</sup>

There was no induced metabolism or increase in exposure of either palbociclib or palazestrant when administered in combination

Tumor responses and prolonged disease stabilization were observed in this patient group, including in those previously exposed to CDK4/6 inhibitors.

Findings from this study are consistent with previously reported data and support the ongoing clinical development of palazestrant in combination with CDK4/6 inhibitors for the treatment of ER+/HER2- MBC.

Figure 6. Best percent change from baseline in target lesions and best overall response



- 5 patients remained on therapy >52 weeks. (Figure 5)
- Longest duration of treatment is 76 weeks and ongoing
- Partial responses were observed in seven patients. (2 cPR: 5uPR)
- Clinical benefit rate was 46% (12/26 patients) in all patients and 60% (6/10) in patients with ESR1 mutation in ctDNA at baseline.
- 53% of patients had any reduction in target lesion size. (Figure 6)
- Data are maturing: 22 patients (48%) remain on treatment.

#### References

 NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines<sup>®</sup>) for Breast Cancer. V2.2023.
Rasha F, et al. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2021;532:111322.
Alemany C, et al. Presented at AACR-NCI-EORTC; October 7–10 2021 (abstract number P037). 4. Shang Y. Brown M. Science, 2002;295;2465-8. 5. Webb P. et al, J Biol Chem, 2003;278:6912-20. 6. Chan A, et al. Presented at SABCS 2022; December 6–10, 2022 (poster number P101). 7. Hamilton E, et al. Presented at EORTC–NCI–AACR Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics Symposium; October 26–29, 2022 (poster number 101/PB091). EORTC–NCI–AACR Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics Symp 8. Chan A, et al. Presented at ESMO Breast Congress; May 11–13, 2023 (poster number 202P). 9. Pfizer Inc. Ibrance (palbociclib) Prescribing Information, 2019.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the OP-1250-002 trial patients, their families and caregivers, trial investigators, and study staff. This study was sponsored by Olema Oncology. Editorial support was provided by Twist Medical, LLC, and funded by Olema Oncology

#### Disclosures

Prof. Chan has served on advisory boards for Specialised Therapeutics, has an unrestricted research grant from Fisai, and has received honoraria as an invited speaker for Pierre Fabre and Nova