# Preliminary phase 1/2 results from OP-1250-001, a study of OP-1250, an oral CERAN/SERD, in patients with advanced and/or metastatic estrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer (NCT04505826)

## Erika Hamilton<sup>1</sup>, Jane Meisel<sup>2</sup>, Carlos Alemany<sup>3</sup>, Virginia Borges<sup>4</sup>, Nancy U. Lin<sup>5</sup>, Robert Wesolowski<sup>6</sup>, Gurpreet Mathauda-Sahota<sup>7</sup>, Della Makower<sup>8</sup>, Julia Lawrence<sup>7</sup>, Demiana Faltaos<sup>7</sup>, Zahi Mitri<sup>9</sup>, Dhanusha Sabanathan<sup>10</sup>, Meena Okera<sup>11</sup>, Timothy Pluard<sup>12</sup>, Rina Hui<sup>13</sup>, Nicole McCarthy<sup>14</sup>, Manish Patel<sup>15</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sarah Cannon Research Institute at Tennessee Oncology, Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; <sup>2</sup>Winship Cancer Institute at Emory University, Oncology, Roston, MA, USA; <sup>3</sup>AdventHealth Cancer Institute, Oncology, Orlando, FL, USA; <sup>4</sup>University of Colorado Cancer Institute, Oncology, Roston, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>7</sup>Olema Pharmaceuticals, Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>1</sup>AdventHealth Cancer Institute, Oncology, Roston, MA, USA; <sup>4</sup>University of Colorado Cancer Institute, Oncology, Roston, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>7</sup>Olema Pharmaceuticals, Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>4</sup>University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>4</sup>University Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Oncology, Roston, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>5</sup>Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology, Columbus, OH, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University, Oncology, OH, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University, OH, USA; <sup>6</sup>The Ohio State University, OH, USA; <sup>8</sup>Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Oncology, New York, NY, USA; <sup>9</sup>Knight Cancer Institute–Oregon Health Cancer Research SA, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>13</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>13</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>13</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>13</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>13</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>13</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University of Sydney, Australia; <sup>12</sup>Cancer Research SA, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>13</sup>Westmead Hospital and University of Sydney, Australia; <sup>14</sup>Cancer Research SA, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, Oncology, Kansas City, KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, City KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, City KS, USA; <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and University, City KS, <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital and <sup>14</sup>Westmead Hospital an <sup>14</sup>Icon Cancer Center–Wesley Medical Centre, Oncology, Auchenflower, Australia; <sup>15</sup>Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute

## Background

- OP-1250 is an oral CERAN (complete estrogen receptor antagonist)/SERD (selective estroger receptor degrader) that has demonstrated anti-tumor efficacy in a range of preclinical xenograft models of breast cancer, including in ESR1 and PIK3CA mutations and central nervous system (CNS) metastasis<sup>1,2</sup>
- Phase 1a dose escalation<sup>3</sup>:
- OP-1250 was administered at escalating doses from 30 mg to 300 mg; maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was not reached, and no dose limiting toxicities (DLTs) were observed
- Pharmacokinetics (PK) demonstrated high oral bioavailability, with dose-proportional exposures and long half-life ( $t_{1/2} \approx 8$  days), supporting once-daily dosing
- Efficacy was observed in heavily pretreated patients with 2 confirmed partial responses at 60 mg and 120 mg
- OP-1250 doses of 60 mg and 120 mg were selected based on PK, tolerability, and initial efficacy for further evaluation in the dose-expansion cohort
- Preliminary results from phase 1a dose escalation and phase 1b dose expansion at OP-1250 doses of 60 mg and 120 mg are presented

## Methods

 OP-1250-001 (NCT04505826) is a first-in-human, phase 1/2 study of once-daily oral dose of OP-1250 in adults with advanced and/or metastatic estrogen receptor (ER)-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer (Figure 1)

Figure 1. OP-1250-001 First-in-Human Phase 1/2 Monotherapy Trial: Study Design



Primary objectives: pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability, identify RP2D Secondary objectives: ORR (CR + PR), CBR (CR + PR + SD ≥24 weeks)

measurable-disease cohort, safety and tolerability at RP2D

CBR includes patients who received at least 1 cycle of treatment, had at least 1 postbaseline tumor assessment evaluable for a response, and enrolled ≥24 weeks prior to the data cut-off date. CBR, clinical benefit rate; CNS, central nervous system; CR, confirmed response; ORR, overall response rate; PR, partial response; RP2D, recommended phase 2 dose; SD, stable disease

#### Table 1. Key Inclusion Criteria for Phase 1

Phase	iclusion Criteria						
Phase 1a	<ul> <li>Tumor must be ER+/HER2–</li> <li>At least 1 prior hormone-based therapy for locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease</li> <li>0 to 2 prior chemotherapy regimens for locally advanced or metastatic disease</li> <li>Measurable and nonmeasurable disease (evaluable disease)</li> </ul>						
Phase 1b	<ul> <li>Tumor must be ER+/HER2–</li> <li>1 to 4 prior hormone-based therapies for locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic disease</li> <li>0 to 1 prior chemotherapy regimen for locally advanced or metastatic disease</li> <li>Measurable disease by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors 1.1 criteria</li> </ul>						

#### References

- 1. Hodges-Gallagher L, et al. EORTC-NCI-AACR 2020 Meeting. Poster #193.
- 2. Hodges-Gallagher L, et al. AACR Annual Meeting 2021. Poster #LB122.
- **3.** Patel M, et al. San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2021. Poster #P1-17-12.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the OP-1250-001 trial patients, their families and caregivers, trial investigators, and study staff.

This study was sponsored by Olema Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Editorial and layout support was provided by Duprane Pedaci Young, PhD, of Peloton Advantage, LLC, an OPEN Health company, and funded by Olema Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

## Results

## **Patient Population**

•	Data cut-off date for this analysis was 2 September 2022

- A total of 68 patients were treated (Tables 2 and 3): 82% had visceral disease at baseline
- 61% had ≥2 prior lines of endocrine therapy in the advanced
- setting 96% received prior cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor
- 65% received prior fulvestrant
- 32% received prior chemotherapy in the advanced setting
- 59% had an ESR1 mutation at baseline

#### Table 3. Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics

• •			
Patient characteristics	60 mg (n=33)	120 mg (n=35)	Total <sup>a</sup> (N=68)
Age, median, years	61	61	61
Range	30–81	39–77	30-81
Menopausal status (female), n (%)			
Postmenopausal	29 (88)	32 (91)	61 (90)
Peri-/premenopausal	4 (12)	3 (9)	7 (10)
ECOG performance status, n (%)			
0	22 (67)	17 (49)	39 (57)
1	11 (33)	18 (51)	29 (43)
Measurable disease at baseline, n (%)	32 (97)	34 (97)	66 (97)
Visceral disease (liver, lung, peritoneum, pleura, ascites), n (%)	27 (82)	29 (83)	56 (82)
Prior lines of therapy in advanced setting, n (%)			
1	9 (27)	11 (31)	20 (29)
2	9 (27)	10 (29)	19 (28)
≥3	15 (46)	13 (37)	28 (41)
Missing	0	1 (3)	1 (2)
Prior lines of endocrine therapy in advanced setting, n (%)			
1	13 (39)	12 (34)	25 (36)
2	8 (24)	15 (43)	23 (34)
≥3	11 (33)	7 (20)	18 (27)
Missing	1 (3)	1 (3)	2 (3)
Types of prior therapy in advanced setting, n (%)			
Chemotherapy	14 (42)	8 (23)	22 (32)
AI	26 (79)	29 (83)	55 (81)
Fulvestrant	22 (67)	22 (63)	44 (65)
CDK4/6 inhibitor	32 (97)	33 (94)	65 (96)
ESR1 mutations at baseline (ctDNA), n/N (%)	15/20 (75)	12/26 (46)	27/46 (59)
<sup>a</sup> Sums may not total to 100% due to rounding.			

Tab

Pat

AI, aromatase inhibitor; CDK4/6, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group

#### Pharmacokinetics

- OP-1250 is characterized by high oral bioavailability, relatively fast absorption, and dose proportional exposure (**Table 4**)
- Mean terminal half-life (t<sub>1/2</sub>)=8 days, supporting once-daily dosing
- Steady-state plasma levels showed minimal peak-to-trough variability, allowing complete inhibition of the ER for the full dosing

Figure 2. OP-1250 Steady-state Plasma Concentration-time Profiles:

- interval (Figure 2)
- Dosing at the RP2D (120 mg once daily [QD]) yields drug exposure that exceeds the predicted efficacious threshold based on the estradiol-supplemented preclinical models



Dashed black lines=target efficacious exposure based on estradiol-supplemented preclinical models (C<sub>min</sub>=226 ng/mL) (8 studies); dotted red line=target efficacious exposure based on preclinical models without estradiol supplementation (C<sub>min</sub>=36 ng/mL). C, cycle; D, day; QD, once daily.

e 2. Patient Disposition							
ients, n (%)	60 mg (n=33)	120 mg (n=35)	Total (N=68)				
rently on treatment	10	11	21 (31)				
atment discontinued	23	24	47 (69)				
sons for discontinuation: adiographic Progression inical Progression xxicity <sup>a</sup> ther <sup>b</sup>	19 2 0 2	16 5 2 1	35 (50) 7 (12) 2 (3) 3 (4)				

<sup>a</sup>Grade 3 INR increase (not related); Grade 4 neutropenia (related) <sup>b</sup>HER2+ disease in post-treatment biopsy; Patient withdrew consent; Grade 3 large intestinal obstruction related to disease progression (120 mg)

Table 4. OP-1250 Steady-state PK: C2D1 Phase 1a Dose Escalation and Phase 1b Dose Expansion Combined<sup>a</sup>

250	C <sub>min</sub>	C <sub>max</sub>	t <sub>max</sub>	C <sub>avg</sub>	AUC <sub>0-24</sub>
dose	(ng/mL) <sup>b</sup>	(ng/mL) <sup>b</sup>	(h) <sup>c</sup>	(ng/mL) <sup>b</sup>	(ng*h/mL)
5	122	237	4.00	168	4026
	(52.2)	(48.2)	(1.00–6.00)	(47.2)	(47.2)
ng	319	598	4.00	430	10330
	(43.2)	(38.2)	(2.00–6.00)	(38.7)	(38.7)

<sup>1</sup>19 September 2022 data cut-off date. <sup>b</sup>Geometric Mean (GeoCV%) presented, unless otherwise noted. <sup>c</sup>Median (min-max). C, cycle; D, day; PK, pharmacokinetics

## Safety

Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs)

- Treatment with OP-1250 was well tolerated at 60- and 120-mg dose levels (N=68)
- The most common TEAEs at 60 mg and 120 mg that occurred in at least 15% of patients were nausea, fatigue, vomiting, and headache (Table 5)
- 3 patients had a grade 3 event assessed as related to study drug: anemia (60 mg), nausea (120 mg), and fatigue (120 mg)
- 1 patient's dose was reduced due to a related AE of grade 1 upper abdominal pain at 120 mg
- 1 patient had a grade 5 AE of disease progression at 60 mg assessed as not study drug related

Neutropenia AEs

- Events of grade 3/4 neutropenia have been observed, occurring approximately 4–6 weeks into therapy and have been reversible
- 1 patient had grade 3 neutropenia at 120 mg and was discontinued due to concurrent disease progression with recovery of neutropenia
- 3 patients had grade 4 neutropenia at 120 mg:
  - 1 patient's dose was interrupted for 1 week and was restarted at a lower dose (60 mg) with a subsequent cPR and no further neutropenia - 1 patient had grade 4 neutropenia concurrent with disease progression and was discontinued from treatment and recovered
  - 1 patient had febrile neutropenia with no evidence of infection, was discontinued from treatment, and recovered

#### Table 5. TEAEs Occurring in ≥15% of Patients

	60 mg (n=33)		120 mg (n=35)			Total (N=68)		
TEAEs in ≥15% of patients	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade ≥3	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade ≥3
Patients with ≥1 event (highest grade), n	10	13	4	14	8	10	59 (87%)	14 (21%)
Nausea, n	11	3	0	19	1	2	36 (53%)	2 (3%)
atigue, n	8	4	0	5	2	2	21 (31%)	2 (3%)
/omiting, n	4	1	0	7	0	2	14 (21%)	2 (3%)
leadache, n	5	0	0	7	0	0	12 (18%)	0

TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse even

### Efficacy

• 4 confirmed and 2 unconfirmed partial responses have been observed with a clinical benefit rate of 29% (Tables 6 and 7)

4 patients remained on therapy >52 weeks; 2 of these had durable confirmed responses (Figure 3)

• 41% of patients had any reduction in target lesion size (Figure 4)

Table 6. Efficacy Response by Cohort in the Anticipated RP2D Range<sup>a,b</sup>

		Dose cohort	
Efficacy parameter	60 mg (n=28)	120 mg (n=29)	Total (n=57)
uPR, n	1	1	2
cPR, n	2	2	4
SD ≥24 weeks, n	2	4	6
CBR (cCR+cPR+SD ≥24 weeks), n/N (%)	4/16 (25)	6/18 (33)	10/34 (29)

Table 7. Efficacy Response by Cohort in the Anticipated RP2D Range in Patients With ESR1 Mutation<sup>a,t</sup>

Dose cohort		
60 mg (n=13)	120 mg (n=9)	Total (n=22)
1	0	1
2	1	3
0	1	1
2/8 (25)	2/6 (33)	4/14 (29)
	60 mg (n=13) 1 2 0 2/8 (25)	Dose cohort           60 mg (n=13)         120 mg (n=9)           1         0           2         1           0         1           2/8 (25)         2/6 (33)

<sup>a</sup>uPR, cPR, SD, and CBR were evaluated per RECIST version 1.1.

<sup>b</sup>Includes patients who received at least 1 cycle of treatment and had at least 1 postbaseline tumor assessment evaluable for a response CBR includes patients who received at least 1 cycle of treatment, had at least 1 postbaseline tumor assessment evaluable for a response, and enrolled >24 weeks prior to the data cut-off date. CBR, clinical benefit rate; cCR, confirmed complete response; cPR, confirmed partial response; RP2D, recommended phase 2 dose; SD, stable disease; uPR, unconfirmed

partial response

Conclusions

OP-1250 completely blocks transcriptional activity of both wild-type and mutant ER

OP-1250 was well tolerated with drug exposure supporting once oral daily dosing

The majority of TEAEs were Grade 1 or 2

- At 120 mg QD, exposures exceeded the preclinical efficacy threshold and achieved exposure levels approximately 20x that of fulvestrant
- 4 confirmed and 2 unconfirmed responses have been observed in heavily pretreated patients, including patients who received prior fulvestrant. Efficacy data continues to mature
- 4 patients remained on therapy >52 weeks; 2 of these had durable confirmed responses
- Evidence of activity was observed in patients with both wild type and ESR1 mutations

## Figure 3. Duration of Treatment<sup>4</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each lane represents 1 study patient <sup>b</sup>Solid boxes indicate ESR mutation present, open boxes indicate wild-type, and the absence of a box indicates missing data. Al, aromatase inhibitor; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; Chemo, chemotherapy; Fulv, fulvestrant; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response.

Figure 4. Best Percent Change From Baseline in Target Lesions and Best Overall Response



<sup>a</sup>Patient had an unconfirmed partial response and later progressed at a subsequent scan. <sup>b</sup>Solid boxes indicate ESR mutation present, open boxes indicate wild-type, and the absence of a box indicates missing data Al, aromatase inhibitor; CDK4/6i, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor; Chemo, chemotherapy; Fulv, fulvestrant; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; QD, once daily; SD, stable disease; uPR, unconfirmed partial response.

• The overall safety profile, absence of MTD, drug exposure and PK profile, and the preliminary anti-tumor activity support 120 mg OP-1250 QD as the RP2D

- Further clinical development of OP-1250 includes:
- Ongoing Phase 2 monotherapy expansion cohorts (NCT04505826)
- Phase 1b study of OP-1250 in combination with palbociclib (NCT05266105)
- Preliminary data in combination with palbociclib will be presented in late 2022
- Phase 1b study of OP-1250 in combination with either ribociclib or alpelisib (NCT05508906)
- A Phase 3 monotherapy 2<sup>nd</sup>/3<sup>rd</sup> line study is planned for mid-2023

Contact: clinical@olema.com (NCT04505826)